

THE GROTHENDIECK GROUP OF NON-COMMUTATIVE NON-NOETHERIAN ANALOGUES OF $\mathbb{P}^1$ AND REGULAR ALGEBRAS OF GLOBAL DIMENSION TWO

GAUTAM SISODIA AND S. PAUL SMITH

ABSTRACT. Let V be a finite-dimensional positively-graded vector space. Let $b \in V \otimes V$ be a homogeneous element whose rank is $\dim(V)$. Let $A = TV/(b)$, the quotient of the tensor algebra TV modulo the 2-sided ideal generated by b . Let $\mathbf{gr}(A)$ be the category of finitely presented graded left A -modules and $\mathbf{fdim}(A)$ its full subcategory of finite dimensional modules. Let $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ be the quotient category $\mathbf{gr}(A)/\mathbf{fdim}(A)$. We compute the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A))$. In particular, if the reciprocal of the Hilbert series of A , which is a polynomial, is irreducible, then $K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\theta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ as ordered abelian groups where θ is the smallest positive real root of that polynomial. When $\dim_k(V) = 2$, $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ is equivalent to the category of coherent sheaves on the projective line, $\mathbb{P}^1$, or a stacky $\mathbb{P}^1$ if V is not concentrated in degree 1. If $\dim_k(V) \geq 3$, results of Piontkovskii and Minamoto suggest that $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ behaves as if it is the category of “coherent sheaves” on a non-commutative, non-noetherian, analogue of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let k be a field. Let $A = TV/(b)$ where V is a finite-dimensional positively-graded k -vector space and $b \in V \otimes V$ a homogeneous element of rank $\dim(V)$. Zhang [16] showed that up to equivalence the category $\mathbf{gr}(A)$ depends only on V as a graded vector space, not on b .

This paper is motivated by non-commutative algebraic geometry. As we explain in §1.3, results of Piontkovskii and Minamoto suggest that the category $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ behaves as if it is the category of “coherent sheaves” on a non-commutative, non-noetherian if $\dim_k(V) \geq 3$, analogue of the projective line, $\mathbb{P}^1$. With this perspective we are computing the Grothendieck groups of “coherent sheaves” on these non-commutative analogues of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

The description of $K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A))$ as an *ordered* abelian group says that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta] \cong K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A))$ is equal to $[\mathcal{F}]$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{qgr}(A)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 0$.

The result reminds us of the fact that the K_0 of an irrational rotation algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\theta] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ as an ordered abelian group [11], [13]. We do not know any connection between $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\theta$.

Date: October 14, 2014.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W50, 16E65, 19A99.

Key words and phrases. Regular algebras, graded rings, global dimension, Grothendieck group.

1.2. **The case $\dim_k(V) = 2$.** When $V = kx_0 \oplus kx_1$ with $\deg(x_0) = \deg(x_1) = 1$ and $b = x_0x_1 - x_1x_0$, A is the polynomial ring $k[x_0, x_1]$ and the category $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ of finitely generated graded A -modules modulo the full subcategory of finite dimensional graded A -modules is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1$. If $\deg(x_0) = 1$ and $\deg(x_1) = m > 1$ and $b = x_0x_1 - x_1x_0$, then $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{coh}[\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{Z}_m]$, the coherent sheaves on the stacky $\mathbb{P}^1$ with a single stacky point isomorphic to $B\mathbb{Z}_m$. The K_0 of this stack, and more general toric DM stacks, is computed in [14].

1.3. In [12], Piontkovskii shows that $A = TV/(b)$ behaves like a homogeneous coordinate ring of a non-commutative (non-noetherian if $n \geq 3$) analogue of the projective line. He proves that A is graded coherent and hence that the category $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ of finitely presented graded A -modules modulo the full subcategory of finite dimensional graded A -modules is abelian category. He shows that $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ is like $\mathbf{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ in so far as it has cohomological dimension 1, Ext groups have finite dimension, and satisfies Serre duality. Explicitly, if $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{qgr}(A)$, then $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{qgr}(A)}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = 0$, $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{qgr}(A)}^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) < \infty$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{qgr}(A)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbf{qgr}(A)}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}(-n-1))^*$.

In [8], Minamoto gives additional evidence that $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ is like $\mathbf{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ by proving an analogue of the well-known equivalence, $D^b(\mathrm{Qcoh}(\mathbb{P}_k^1)) \cong D^b(kQ_2)$, of bounded derived categories where kQ_2 is the path algebra of the quiver with two vertices and 2 arrows from the first vertex to the second. Minamoto shows that $D^b(\mathrm{Qcoh}(A)) \cong D^b(kQ_{n+1})$ where Q_{n+1} is the path algebra of the quiver with two vertices and $n+1$ arrows from the first vertex to the second.

1.4. The categories $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ also appear in a paper of Kontsevich and Rosenberg [6]. They propose a non-commutative analogue of $\mathbb{P}_k^n$, $N\mathbb{P}_k^n$, and say that $D^b(\mathrm{Qcoh}(N\mathbb{P}_k^n)) \cong D^b(kQ_{n+1})$. By Minamoto's result, $\mathrm{Qcoh}(N\mathbb{P}_k^n)$ is derived equivalent to $\mathrm{QGr}(k\langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle / (x_0^2 + \dots + x_n^2))$.

1.5. The Grothendieck groups of various *noetherian* non-commutative varieties are already known and have proved useful. For various noetherian analogues $\mathbb{X}$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$, $K_0(\mathbb{X}) \cong K_0(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ [9]. It is shown there that in those cases one can use $K_0(\mathbb{X})$ to develop a rudimentary but useful intersection theory for $\mathbb{X}$. It is shown in [10] that K_0 for certain non-commutative ruled surfaces behaves “just like” the commutative case. Although the geometry of smooth non-commutative quadric surfaces in non-commutative analogues of $\mathbb{P}^3$ is very much like that for their commutative counterparts, K_0 exhibits an interesting non-commutative phenomenon such as the existence of simple objects that behave like curves with self-intersection -2 (see [15] for details).

1.6. Regular algebras. Let k be a field and $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded k -algebra such that $A_0 = k$. The left and right global dimensions of A are the same and equal the projective dimension of the A -module $k := A/A_{\geq 1}$. We say A is **regular** if it has finite global dimension, n say, and

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^j(k, A) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } j = n \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Zhang [16, Theorem 0.1] proved that A is regular of global dimension 2 if and only if it is isomorphic to some

$$(1-1) \quad A := \frac{k\langle x_1, \dots, x_g \rangle}{(b)}$$

where $g \geq 2$, the x_i 's can be labelled so that $\deg(x_i) + \deg(x_{g+1-i}) =: d$ is the same for all i , and σ is a graded k -algebra automorphism of the free algebra $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_g \rangle$, and $b = \sum_{i=1}^g x_i \sigma(x_{g+1-i})$.

1.7. From now on A denotes the algebra in (1-1) where the degrees of the generators and the relation b have the properties stated after (1-1).

1.8. Let $\mathrm{Gr}(A)$ denote the category of graded left A -modules and $\mathrm{gr}(A)$ its full subcategory of finitely presented modules. Because A is defined by a single homogeneous relation $\mathrm{gr}(A)$ is an abelian category [12, Theorem 1.2]. Let $\mathrm{fdim}(A)$ be the full subcategory of finite dimensional graded left A -modules and write $\mathrm{qgr}(A)$ for the quotient category $\mathrm{gr}(A)/\mathrm{fdim}(A)$, and $\pi^* : \mathrm{gr}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{qgr}(A)$ for the quotient functor.

If M is a graded A -module, $M(1)$ denotes the graded module that is M as an abelian group with grading $M(1)_n = M_{1+n}$ and the same action of A . Since $\mathrm{fdim}(A)$ is stable under the functor $M \mapsto M(1)$ there is an induced functor $\pi^* M \mapsto \pi^*(M(1)) =: (\pi^* M)(1)$ on the quotient category $\mathrm{qgr}(A)$.

1.8.1. We follow the convention in algebraic geometry where, for a smooth projective variety X , $K_0(\mathrm{coh}(X))$ denotes the free abelian group generated by coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules modulo the relations $[\mathcal{M}_2] = [\mathcal{M}_1] + [\mathcal{M}_3]$ for every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3 \rightarrow 0$. In this paper, $K_0(\mathrm{qgr}(A))$ denotes the free abelian group generated by the objects in $\mathrm{qgr}(A)$ modulo the “same” relations. The order structure on $K_0(\mathrm{qgr}(A))$ is defined by $K_0(\mathrm{qgr}(A))_{\geq 0} := \{[M] \mid M \in \mathrm{qgr}(A)\}$.

1.9. Because A is regular of global dimension two the minimal projective resolution of ${}_A k$ is

$$0 \longrightarrow A(-d) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_{i=1}^g A(-\deg(x_i)) \xrightarrow{\beta} A \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

where $d = \deg(x_i) + \deg(x_{g+1-i})$, α is right multiplication by $(x_g, \dots, x_1)$, and β is right multiplication by $(\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2), \dots, \sigma(x_g))^T$. The Hilbert

series for A is therefore

$$H_A(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim_k(A_n) t^n = \frac{1}{f(t)}$$

where

$$(1-2) \quad f(t) := t^d - \sum_{i=1}^g t^{\deg(x_i)} + 1.$$

1.10. The minimal projective resolution of a module $M \in \mathbf{gr}(A)$ has the form

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus A(-i)^{e_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus A(-i)^{c_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus A(-i)^{b_i} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where the sums are finite. Since $H_{A(-i)}(t) = t^i H_A(t)$, the Hilbert series for M , $H_M(t)$, is $H_A(t) q_M(t)$ where

$$q_M(t) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (b_i - c_i + e_i) t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}].$$

1.11. **The main result and remarks.** Under the hypotheses in the next theorem, we make $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/(f)$ an ordered abelian group by defining

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]}{(f)} \right)_{\geq 0} := \{\bar{p} \mid p(\theta) > 0\} \cup \{0\}$$

where $\bar{p}$ denotes the image of the Laurent polynomial p in $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/(f)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be the algebra in (1-1) and assume that the greatest common divisor of the degrees of its generators x_i is 1. Let $f(t)$ be the polynomial in (1-2) and let θ be its smallest positive real root. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\theta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra generated by θ viewed as an ordered abelian subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. The Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A))$ is isomorphic as an ordered abelian group to*

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]}{(f)}$$

via the map $[\pi^* M] \mapsto \overline{q_M(t)}$. If f is irreducible, $K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A))$ is isomorphic as an ordered abelian group to $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ via the map $[\pi^* M] \mapsto q_M(\theta)$.

Furthermore, under the isomorphism(s), the functor $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}(1)$ on $\mathbf{qgr}(A)$ corresponds to multiplication by t^{-1} and multiplication by θ^{-1} .

1.11.1. Suppose $g = 2$. Then $\mathbf{gr}(A)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{gr}(k[x_0, x_1])$ where $k[x_0, x_1]$ is a weighted polynomial ring with $\deg(x_0) = 1$ and $\deg(x_1) = m$ so $f(t) = (1-t)(1-t^m)$. As remarked in §1.2, this case is well understood by [14] and not so interesting.

From now on we assume that $g \geq 3$.

1.11.2. Descartes' rule of signs implies that $f(t)$ has either 0 or 2 positive real roots. The hypothesis that $g \geq 3$ implies $f(1) < 0$. Since $f(0) > 0$, we conclude that $f(t)$ has two positive roots, $\theta^{-1} > 1$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$, say.

1.11.3. In general, $f(t)$ need not be irreducible. For example, if $A = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (xz + zx + y^2)$ with $\deg(x, y, z) = (5, 6, 7)$, then

$$f(t) = t^{12} - t^7 - t^6 - t^5 + 1 = (t^2 - t + 1)(t^{10} + t^9 - t^7 - t^6 - t^5 - t^4 - t^3 + t + 1).$$

There are reducible $f(t)$ of smaller degree but this particular example is interesting because the degree-10 factor is Lehmer's polynomial [4]. Lehmer's number is $\theta^{-1} \approx 1.17628$.

1.12. The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the next result with $d_i = \deg(x_i)$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $d_1, \dots, d_g$ be non-negative integers. Suppose that $g \geq 3$, that $d_i + d_{g+1-i} = d$ for all i , and $\gcd\{d_1, \dots, d_g\} = 1$. The polynomial*

$$(1-3) \quad f(t) := t^d - \sum_{i=1}^g t^{d_i} + 1$$

has a unique root of maximal modulus and that root is real.

We give two proofs of this result. That in §3 uses a directed graph associated to $d_1, \dots, d_g$ and the Perron-Frobenius theorem. That in §4 uses elementary trigonometric identities, but only works when $g \geq 4$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 MODULO PROPOSITION 1.2

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ be a power series in which $c_n > 0$ for all $n \gg 0$. Suppose*

- (1) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ has radius of convergence $R > 0$ and on the disk $|z| < R$ it converges to a rational function $s(z)$ that has a simple pole at $z = R$;
- (2) all other poles of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ have modulus $> R$.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}} = R.$$

Proof. There are polynomials $p(z)$ and $q(z)$, neither divisible by $R - z$, such that

$$s(z) = \frac{p(z)}{(R - z)q(z)} = \frac{\alpha}{R - z} + \frac{r(z)}{q(z)}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $r(z)$ is a polynomial, and $r(z)/q(z)$ has a Taylor series expansion $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ with radius of convergence $> R$ by (2). Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n R^n$ converges, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n R^n = 0$.

Since

$$s(z) = \frac{\alpha}{R} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{R^n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

for $|z| < R$,

$$c_n = \frac{\alpha}{R^{n+1}} + b_n.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\alpha R + b_n R^{n+2}}{\alpha + b_{n+1} R^{n+2}} \right) = \frac{\alpha R}{\alpha} = R,$$

as claimed. $\square$

2.1. Notation. Let A be the algebra in (1-1). Let $d_i := \deg(x_i)$ and $d := \deg(b)$. We assume that $g \geq 3$, that $\gcd\{d_1, \dots, d_g\} = 1$, and $d = d_i + d_{g+1-i}$ for all i .

We suppose $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_g$ for simplicity. We write n_i for the number of x_j 's whose degree is i . Thus

$$f(t) = t^d - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i t^i + 1.$$

Because A is a domain [16, Thm. 0.2] and 1 is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of its generators, $A_n \neq 0$ for all $n \gg 0$.

We write $a_n := \dim_k(A_n)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $m \geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+m}} = \theta^m.$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{a_n}{a_{n+m}} = \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_{n+2}} \dots \frac{a_{n+m-1}}{a_{n+m}}$$

for $n \gg 0$, it suffices to prove the result for $m = 1$. Since $H_A(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1 for $R = \theta$, the result follows from the conclusion of Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *If $M \in \text{gr}(A)$, then $q_M(\theta) \geq 0$.*

Proof. Write $q_M(t) = \sum_{i=-s}^s p_i t^i$ and define $e_i := \sum_{j=-s}^s p_j a_{i-j}$. Then

$$H_M(t) = q_M(t) H_A(t) = \left(\sum_{i=-s}^s p_i t^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i \right) = \sum_{i=-s}^{\infty} e_i t^i.$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{e_m}{a_m} = \sum_{j=-s}^s \left(\frac{a_{m-j}}{a_m} \right) p_j \longrightarrow \sum_{j=-s}^s p_j \theta^j = q_M(\theta).$$

Since $e_i = \dim(M_i)$, $\{e_m/a_m\}_{m \gg 0}$ is a sequence of non-negative numbers its limit, $q_M(\theta)$, is ≥ 0 . $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $M \in \text{gr}(A)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $M \in \text{fdim}(A)$;
- (2) $f(t)$ divides $q_M(t)$;
- (3) $q_M(\theta) = 0$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) If $\dim_k(M) < \infty$, then $H_M(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t, t^{-1}]$ so $q_M(t)$ is a multiple of $f(t)$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) If $f(t)$ divides $q_M(t)$ then $q_M(\theta) = 0$ since θ is a root of $f(t)$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $q_M(\theta) = 0$ but $\dim_k(M) = \infty$. The power series $H_M(t)$ has non-negative coefficients and a finite radius of convergence $R \leq 1$. Since $H_M(t) = q_M(t)H_A(t)$, $q_M(\theta) = 0$ and θ is a simple pole of $H_A(t)$ and the only pole of $H_A(t)$ in the interval $[0, 1]$, $H_M(t)$ has no poles in the interval $[0, 1]$. This contradicts Pringsheim's Theorem [2, Theorem IV.6] which says that $H_M(t)$ has a pole at $t = R$. We therefore conclude that $\dim_k(M) < \infty$. $\square$

2.2. The converse of Proposition 2.3 is not true: there are Laurent polynomials $p \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that $p(\theta) \geq 0$ but $p(t)$ is not equal to $q_M(t)$ for any $M \in \text{gr}(A)$. The following example illustrates this fact.

Example 2.5. Let $A = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (xz + y^2 + zx)$ with $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = \deg(z) = 1$. In this case, $f(t) = 1 - 3t + t^2$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5})$.

Let $p(t) = -3 + 13t - 4t^2$. Then $p(\theta) = 1 + \theta > 0$; but

$$p(t)H_A(t) = -3 + 4t^2 + 11t^2 + \dots$$

has a negative coefficient so is not the Hilbert series of any module.

However, if $M = A \oplus A(-1)$, then $q_M(t) = 1 + t$ and $q_M(t) - p(t) = 4 - 12t + 4t^2 = 4f(t)$, so

$$(q_M(t) - p(t))H_A(t) = 4.$$

In other words, $H_M(t)$ and $p(t)H_A(t)$ differ only in the the first term. The following lemma is a generalization of this fact.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$. If $p(\theta) > 0$, then there is an M in $\text{gr}(A)$ such that $q_M(t) - p(t) \in (f)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $t^s q_M(t) - t^s p(t) \in (f)$ for some integer s . Since $q_{M(-s)}(t) = t^s q_M(t)$, we can, and will, assume $p(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$.

Write $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^s p_i t^i$. Define integers b_i , $i \geq 0$, by the requirement that

$$(2-1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i := p(t)H_A(t).$$

Therefore

$$p(t) = f(t) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i \right) \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j t^j + t^d \right).$$

Equating coefficients gives

$$(2-2) \quad p_i = b_i + b_{i-d} - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j b_{i-j}$$

for all $i \geq 0$ with the convention that $p_i = 0$ for $i > s$ and $b_i = 0$ for $i < 0$.

Since $a_j \neq 0$ for $j \gg 0$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b_j}{a_j} \right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^s \left(\frac{a_{j-i}}{a_j} \right) p_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^s p_i \theta^i = p(\theta) > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b_j}{b_{j+1}} \right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b_j}{a_j} \frac{a_{j+1}}{b_{j+1}} \frac{a_j}{a_{j+1}} \right) = p(\theta) p(\theta)^{-1} \theta = \theta.$$

It follows that there is an integer $m \geq s$ such that

$$0 < b_{m-d} < b_{m+1-d} < \cdots < b_i < b_{i+1} < \cdots.$$

We fix such an m .

Define the integers r_i by

$$\sum_i r_i t^i := p(t) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^m b_i t^i \right) f(t).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum r_i t^i &= p(t) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^m b_i t^i \right) \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j t^j + t^d \right) \\ &= p(t) - \sum_{i=0}^m \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j b_{i-j} + b_{i-d} \right] t^i + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+d} \left[\sum_{j=i-m}^{d-1} n_j b_{i-j} - b_{i-d} \right] t^i \\ &= \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+d} \left[\sum_{j=i-m}^{d-1} n_j b_{i-j} - b_{i-d} \right] t^i \quad \text{by (2-2).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(2-3) \quad r_i = \sum_{j=i-m}^{d-1} n_j b_{i-j} - b_{i-d}$$

for $m+1 \leq i \leq m+d$ and r_i is 0 if $i \notin [m+1, m+d]$.

Suppose $d = 2$, i.e., all generators have degree 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum r_i t^i &= r_{m+1} t^{m+1} + r_{m+2} t^{m+2} \\ &= (n_1 b_m - b_{m-1}) t^{m+1} + (-b_m) t^{m+2} \\ &= ((n_1 - 1) b_m - b_{m-1}) t^{m+1} + b_m (1 - t) t^{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

which equals $q_M(t)$ if

$$M = A(-m-1)^{(n_1-1)b_m-b_{m-1}} \oplus \left(\frac{A}{x_1 A} \right) (-m-1)^{b_m}.$$

Note that there really is such a module because $(n_1-1)b_m \geq b_m > b_{m-1} > 0$.

From now on we assume that $d \geq 3$. Thus $d_1 \neq d_g$ and $d_1 + d_g = d$.

We will prove the result by showing there are modules $L, N \in \mathbf{gr}(A)$ such that

$$(2-4) \quad \sum_{i=m+d_1+1}^{m+d_g} r_i t^i = q_N(t)$$

and

$$(2-5) \quad \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+d_1} r_i t^i + \sum_{i=m+d_g+1}^{m+d} r_i t^i = q_L(t).$$

First we prove (2-4) by showing that $r_i \geq 0$ when $m+d_1+1 \leq i \leq m+d_g$. For such an i , $i-m \leq d_g$ so the term $n_{d_g} b_{i-d_g}$ appears in the expression $r_i = \sum_{j=i-m} n_j b_{i-j} - b_{i-d}$; that is sufficient to imply that $r_i > 0$ because $n_{d_g} b_{i-d_g} - b_{i-d} \geq b_{i-d_g} - b_{i-d} > 0$. Thus, there is a module $N \in \mathbf{gr}(A)$ such that (2-4) holds.

Now we prove the existence of L . Because $d_1 + d_g = d$,

$$(2-5) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+d_1} (r_i + r_{i+d_g} t^{d_g}) t^i.$$

However, $n_j = 0$ for all $j \geq d_g + 1$ so, when $m+1 \leq i \leq m+d_1$,

$$r_i + r_{i+d_g} t^{d_g} = r_i - b_{i+d_g-d} t^{d_g} = r_i - b_{i-d_1} + b_{i-d_1} (1 - t^{d_g}),$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (2-5) &= \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+d_1} (r_i - b_{i-d_1}) t^i + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+d_1} b_{i-d_1} (1 - t^{d_g}) t^i \\ &= q_L(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = \left(\bigoplus_{i=m+1}^{m+d_1} A(-i)^{r_i - b_{i-d_1}} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=m+1}^{m+d_1} \left(\frac{A}{x_g A} \right) (-i)^{b_{i-d_1}} \right)$$

provided $r_i - b_{i-d_1}$ and b_{i-d_1} are non-negative.

Certainly, $b_{i-d_1} > 0$ because $i - d_1 \geq m + 1 - d$.

If $m+1 \leq i \leq m+d_1$, then

$$r_i \geq n_{d_1} b_{i-d_1} + n_{d_g} b_{i-d_g} - b_{i-d} \geq b_{i-d_1}$$

so $r_i - b_{i-d_1} \geq 0$. □

2.3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 modulo Proposition 1.2. The proof is similar to that in [9, §2] so we refer the reader there for more detail.

By definition, $\mathbf{qgr}(A) = \mathbf{gr}(A)/\mathbf{fdim}(A)$, so the localization sequence for K -theory gives an exact sequence

$$(2-6) \quad K_0(\mathbf{fdim}(A)) \xrightarrow{\iota} K_0(\mathbf{gr}(A)) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Because every module in $\text{gr}(A)$ has a finite resolution by finitely generated free (graded) modules, $K_0(\text{qgr}(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$. Because every module in $\text{fdim}(A)$ is finite dimensional it has a composition series and its composition factors are shifts of the trivial module $A/A_{\geq 1}$. Thus, by dévissage, $K_0(\text{fdim}(A)) \cong K_0(\text{gr}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$. Because the inclusion $\text{fdim}(A) \rightarrow \text{gr}(A)$ commutes with the degree-shift functor, the map $K_0(\text{fdim}(A)) \xrightarrow{\iota} K_0(\text{gr}(A))$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -modules. Under the isomorphism $K_0(\text{gr}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, $[k] \mapsto 1$. Therefore $K_0(\text{qgr}(A))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ modulo the ideal generated by $[A/A_{\geq 0}]$. It follows from the minimal resolution of $A/A_{\geq 0}$, that $[A/A_{\geq 0}] = f(t)$. Therefore the map

$$(2-7) \quad K_0(\text{qgr}(A)) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]}{(f)}, \quad [\pi^* M] \mapsto \overline{q_M(t)},$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups and $[\mathcal{M}(1)] = t^{-1}[\mathcal{M}]$ under this isomorphism.

Under the isomorphism (2-7), the positive cone in $K_0(\text{qgr}(A))$ is mapped to $\{\overline{q_M(t)} \mid M \in \text{gr}(A)\}$. To show that (2-7) is an isomorphism of *ordered* abelian groups we must show that

$$(2-8) \quad \{\overline{p} \mid p(\theta) > 0\} \cup \{0\} = \{\overline{q_M(t)} \mid M \in \text{gr}(A)\}.$$

Let $M \in \text{gr}(A)$. By Proposition 2.3, $q_M(\theta) \geq 0$. If $q_M(\theta) > 0$, then $\overline{q_M(t)}$ is in the left-hand side of (2-8). If $q_M(\theta) = 0$, then $f(t)$ divides $q_M(t)$ by Lemma 2.4, whence $\overline{q_M(t)} = 0$. Thus, the right-hand side of (2-8) is contained in the left-hand side of (2-8).

If $p \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and $p(\theta) > 0$, then $\overline{p} = \overline{q_M}$ for some $M \in \text{gr}(A)$ by Lemma 2.6 so $\overline{p}$ is in the right-hand side of (2-8). It is clear that 0 is in the right-hand side of (2-8). Thus, the left-hand side of (2-8) is contained in the right-hand side of (2-8). Hence (2-7) is an isomorphism of ordered abelian groups.

Suppose f is irreducible. The composition

$$(2-9) \quad K_0(\text{qgr}(A)) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]}{(f)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\theta], \quad [\pi^* M] \mapsto q_M(\theta),$$

is certainly an isomorphism of abelian groups. By (2-8), the image of the positive cone in $K_0(\text{qgr}(A))$ under this composition is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, the positive cone in $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. Hence (2-8) is an isomorphism of ordered abelian groups and $[\mathcal{M}(1)] = \theta^{-1}[\mathcal{M}]$ under the isomorphism. $\square$

3. FIRST PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2

3.1. We recall the statement of Proposition 1.2 and explain our strategy to prove it.

Let $d_1, \dots, d_g$ be non-negative integers. Suppose $g \geq 3$, that $d_i + d_{g+1-i} = d$ for all i , and $\gcd\{d_1, \dots, d_g\} = 1$. Let n_j be the number of d_i that equal j . We will show that the polynomial

$$(3-1) \quad f(t) = t^d - n_{d-1}t^{d-1} - \dots - n_1t + 1$$

has a unique root of maximal modulus, and that root is real.

We will associate to the data $d_1, \dots, d_g$ a particular finite directed graph G . An adjacency matrix for G is a square matrix whose rows and columns are labelled by the vertices of G and whose uv -entry is the number of arrows from v to u . The characteristic polynomial of G is

$$p_G(t) := \det(tI - M)$$

where M is an adjacency matrix for G . We will show that $p_G(t) = t^{\ell-d} f(t)$ where $\ell = d_1 + \dots + d_g$. We also show that M is primitive, i.e., all entries of M^n are positive for $n \gg 0$. We then apply the Perron-Frobenius theorem which says that a primitive matrix has a positive real eigenvalue of multiplicity 1, ρ say, with the property that $|\lambda| < \rho$ for all other eigenvalues λ . But the non-zero eigenvalues of M are the roots of $f(t)$. Since we already know that $f(t)$ has only two positive real roots, $\theta < 1$ and $\theta^{-1} > 1$, $\rho = \theta^{-1}$. Since the coefficient of t^i in $f(t)$ is the same as that of t^{d-i} , $f(t) = t^d f(t^{-1})$. Thus $f(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $f(\lambda^{-1}) = 0$. Hence θ^{-1} is the unique root of $f(t)$ having largest modulus.

3.2. We will use Theorem 3.1 to compute the characteristic polynomial of G . First we need some notation.

A simple cycle in G is a directed path that begins and ends at the same vertex and does not pass through any vertex more than once. We introduce the notation for an arbitrary directed graph G :

- (1) $v(G) :=$ the number of vertices in G ;
- (2) $c(G) :=$ the number of connected components in G ;
- (3) $Z(G) := \{\text{simple cycles in } G\}$;
- (4) $\overline{Z}(G) := \{\text{subgraphs of } G \text{ that are a disjoint union of simple cycles}\}.$

Theorem 3.1. [1, Theorem 1.2] *Let G be a directed graph with ℓ vertices. Then*

$$(3-2) \quad p_G(t) = t^\ell + c_1 t^{\ell-1} + \dots + c_{\ell-1} t + c_\ell$$

where

$$(3-3) \quad c_i := \sum_{\substack{Q \in \overline{Z}(G) \\ v(Q)=i}} (-1)^{c(Q)}.$$

3.2.1. *Remark.* In Theorem 3.1, we note for future reference that

$$p_G(0) = c_\ell = \sum_{\substack{Q \in \overline{Z}(G) \\ v(Q)=v(G)}} (-1)^{c(Q)}.$$

3.3. The x_i s are labelled so that $\deg(x_1) \leq \dots \leq \deg(x_g)$.


The free algebra on $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_g \rangle$ is the path algebra of the quiver with one vertex $\star$ and g loops from $\star$ to $\star$ labelled $x_1, \dots, x_g$. We replace each

loop x_i by $d'_i := \deg(x_i) - 1 = d_i - 1$ vertices labelled $x_{i1}, \dots, x_{id'_i}$ and arrows

$$\star \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i0}} x_{i1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{id'_i}} \star$$

The graph obtained by this procedure is the graph associated to $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_g \rangle$ in [5].


3.3.1. *Example.* If A is generated by x_1, x_2, x_3 and $\deg(x_i) = i$, the associated graph is



3.4. **The second graph associated to A .** We now form a second directed graph, the vertices of which are the arrows in the previous graph. In the second graph there is an arrow from vertex u to vertex v if in the first graph the arrow u can be followed by the arrow v , **except** we do not include an arrow $\alpha_{1d'_1} \rightarrow \alpha_{g0}$.

We write G , or $G(A)$, for the second graph associated to A .

The second graph associated to Example 3.3.1 is



Note the absence of an arrow from α_{10} to α_{30} .

Proposition 3.2. *If u and v are vertices in G , there is a directed path starting at u and ending at v .*

Proof. There is a directed path $\alpha_{i0} \rightarrow \alpha_{i1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \alpha_{id'_i} \rightarrow \alpha_{i0}$ so the result is true if $u = \alpha_{ij}$ and $v = \alpha_{ik}$. There are also arrows

$$\alpha_{1d'_1} \rightarrow \alpha_{20}, \quad \alpha_{2d'_2} \rightarrow \alpha_{30}, \quad \dots \quad \alpha_{g-1,d'_{g-1}} \rightarrow \alpha_{g0}, \quad \alpha_{gd'_g} \rightarrow \alpha_{10}$$

so the result is true if $u = \alpha_{i_1j_1}$ and $v = \alpha_{i_2j_2}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be an adjacency matrix for G . Then every entry in M^n is non-zero for $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. In the language of [7, Defn. 4.2.2], Proposition 3.2 says that M is irreducible.

The **period** of a vertex v in G is the greatest common divisor of the non-trivial directed paths that begin and end at v . The **period** of G is the greatest common divisor of the periods of its vertices. Since there is a directed path of length $d_i = \deg(x_i)$ from α_{i0} to itself, the period of G divides $\gcd\{d_1, \dots, d_g\}$ which is 1. The period of G is therefore 1. Thus, in the language of [7, Defn. 4.5.2], M is aperiodic and therefore primitive [7, Defn. 4.5.7]. Hence [7, Thm. 4.5.8] applies to M , and gives the result claimed. $\square$

The Perron-Frobenius theorem [3, Thm. 1, p.64] therefore applies to M giving the following result.


Corollary 3.4. *The characteristic polynomial for G has a unique eigenvalue of maximal modulus and that eigenvalue is simple and real.*

Our next goal, achieved in Proposition 3.9, is to show that $p_G(t) = t^{\ell-d}f(t)$ for a suitable ℓ .

3.5. Other graphs associated to A . We now write $\mathcal{X} := \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ and define the directed graph $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ by declaring that its vertex set is $\mathcal{X}$ and there is an arrow $x_i \rightarrow x_j$ for all $(x_i, x_j) \in \mathcal{X}^2 - \{(x_1, x_g)\}$. For each non-empty subset $X \subset \mathcal{X}$ let $\hat{X}$ be the full subgraph of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ with vertex set X .


3.5.1. If $g = 4$, then

$$\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}^\wedge =$$



and

$$\{x_1, x_2, x_4\}^\wedge =$$



Lemma 3.5. *Let $X \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$. The constant term in the characteristic polynomial for $\hat{X}$ is*

$$p_{\hat{X}}(0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X = \{x_1, x_g\} \\ -1 & \text{if } |X| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let M be an adjacency matrix of $\hat{X}$. Then the constant term in the characteristic polynomial for $\hat{X}$ is $p_{\hat{X}}(0) = (-1)^{|X|} \det(M)$.

If $|X| = 1$, then $\widehat{X}$ consists of one vertex with a single loop so $M = (1)$ whence $p_{\widehat{X}}(0) = -1$.

If $X = \{x_1, x_g\}$, then $\widehat{X}$ has vertices x_1 and x_g , an arrow from x_g to x_1 , and a loop at each vertex. Hence $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is an adjacency matrix for $\widehat{X}$ and the constant term is 1.

If $|X| = 2$ and $X \neq \{x_1, x_g\}$, then the adjacency matrix for $\widehat{X}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ so the constant term is 0.

Suppose $|X| \geq 3$. If $\{x_1, x_g\} \subseteq X$, then M has a single off-diagonal 0 and all its other entries are 1; in particular, M is singular so the constant term is 0. If $\{x_1, x_g\} \not\subseteq X$, then every entry in M is 1 so M is singular and the constant term is 0. $\square$

3.6. The paths $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_g$ in G . For each $1 \leq i \leq g$, let β_i be the path

$$\alpha_{i0} \rightarrow \alpha_{i1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \alpha_{id'_i}.$$

In example 3.3.1, β_1 is the trivial path at vertex α_{10} , β_2 is the arrow $\alpha_{20} \rightarrow \alpha_{21}$, and β_3 is the path $\alpha_{30} \rightarrow \alpha_{31} \rightarrow \alpha_{32}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $i_1, \dots, i_m$ be pairwise distinct elements of $\{1, \dots, g\}$ such that $(1, g) \notin \{(i_m, i_1), (i_1, i_2), \dots, (i_{m-1}, i_m)\}$. Then there is a simple cycle in G of the form*

$$(3-4) \quad \beta_{i_1} \rightarrow \beta_{i_2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \beta_{i_m} \rightarrow \alpha_{i_1 0}$$

and every simple cycle in G is of this form, up to choice of starting point.

Proof. Let $r, s \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and assume $r \neq s$. If $(r, s) \neq (1, g)$, then there is an arrow from $\alpha_{rd'_r}$, the vertex at which β_r ends, to α_{s0} , the vertex at which β_s starts; hence there is a path “traverse β_r then traverse β_s ”; we denote this path by $\beta_r \rightarrow \beta_s$. It follows that there is a path of the form (3-4).

Let p be a simple cycle in G . A simple cycle passes through a vertex α_{ij} if and only if it passes through α_{i0} . Every simple cycle that passes through α_{i0} contains β_i as a subpath because there is a unique arrow starting at α_{ij} for all $j = 0, \dots, d'_i - 1$. Hence p is of the form (3-4). $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *There is a bijection $\Phi : Z(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow Z(G)$ defined by*

$$(3-5) \quad \Phi(x_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{i_m} \rightarrow x_{i_1}) := \beta_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \beta_{i_m} \rightarrow \alpha_{i_1 0}$$

whose inverse is

$$(3-6) \quad \Phi^{-1}(\beta_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \beta_{i_m} \rightarrow \alpha_{i_1 0}) := x_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{i_m} \rightarrow x_{i_1}.$$

Proof. We need only check that Φ and its purported inverse are well-defined. Because $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ does not contain an arrow $x_1 \rightarrow x_g$ and G does not contain an arrow $\alpha_{1d'_1} \rightarrow \alpha_{g0}$, the right-hand sides of (3-5) and (3-6) are simple cycles. $\square$

The next result is obvious.

Proposition 3.8. *The function Φ extends to a bijection $\overline{\Phi} : \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G)$ defined by*

$$\overline{\Phi}(E_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup E_m) := \Phi(E_1) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Phi(E_m)$$

for disjoint simple cycles $E_1, \dots, E_m$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. Furthermore, $c(E) = c(\overline{\Phi}(E))$ for all $E \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}})$.

3.7. The **support** of a subgraph Q of G is

$$\text{Supp}(Q) := \{x_i \mid \beta_i \text{ is a path in } Q\}.$$

Notice that we are using the word “support” in a non-standard way here; for example, although β_i passes through $\deg(x_i)$ vertices, $\text{Supp}(\beta_i) = \{x_i\}$, a singleton, and $v(\beta_i) = \deg(x_i)$. For each non-empty subset $X \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$ let

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G, X) := \{Q \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G) \mid \text{Supp}(Q) = X\}$$

and let $d(X) = \sum_{x \in X} \deg(x)$. Thus, $v(Q) = d(X)$ for all $Q \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G, X)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^g \deg(x_i)$. The characteristic polynomial of G is $t^{\ell-d} f(t)$.*

Proof. The characteristic polynomial of G is

$$p_G(t) = t^\ell + c_1 t^{\ell-1} + \cdots + c_{\ell-1} t + c_\ell$$

where $\ell = v(G) = \sum_{i=1}^g d_i$ and

$$(3-7) \quad c_i = \sum_{\substack{Q \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G) \\ v(Q)=i}} (-1)^{c(Q)} = \sum_{\substack{X \subset \mathcal{X} \\ d(X)=i}} \left(\sum_{Q \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G, X)} (-1)^{c(Q)} \right).$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G, X) = \{\overline{\Phi}(E) \mid E \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}) \text{ and } v(E) = |X|\}$ we have

$$(3-8) \quad \sum_{Q \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(G, X)} (-1)^{c(Q)} = \sum_{\substack{E \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}) \\ v(E)=|X|}} (-1)^{c(E)}.$$


Since $\widehat{X}$ is the full subgraph of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ with vertex set X , $v(\widehat{X}) = |X|$. It now follows from Remark 3.2.1 that the right-hand side of (3-8) is $p_{\widehat{X}}(0)$. Hence by Lemma 3.5,

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = d_1 + d_g = d, \\ -n_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq d_g, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $p_G(t) = t^\ell - n_1 t^{\ell-1} - \cdots - n_{d-1} t^{\ell-d+1} + t^{\ell-d} = t^{\ell-d} f(t)$, as claimed. $\square$

As explained at the end of §3.1, Proposition 1.2 follows from Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.4.


3.7.1. *Example.* In order to clarify some of the technicalities in this section, we will compute the coefficient c_5 in $p_G(t) = t^9 + c_1 t^8 + \cdots + c_8 t + c_9$ where G is the second graph associated to the algebra $A = k\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle / (b)$ where $\deg(x_i) = i + 1$. First, G is



There are two subgraphs of G that have exactly five vertices and are disjoint unions of simple cycles, namely

$$Q_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_{10} \rightleftarrows \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{22} \rightleftarrows \alpha_{21} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_{10} \rightleftarrows \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{20} \rightleftarrows \alpha_{21} \end{array} \right\}$$

The only subset X of $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ such that $d(X) = 5$ is $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$. The graph $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ is



Since $Q_1 = \overline{\Phi}(E_1)$ and $Q_2 = \overline{\Phi}(E_2)$ where

$$E_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x_1 \text{ loop} \\ x_2 \text{ loop} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x_1 \rightleftarrows x_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

equations (3-7) and (3-8) give

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_5 &= (-1)^{c(Q_1)} + (-1)^{c(Q_2)} \\
 &= (-1)^{c(E_1)} + (-1)^{c(E_2)} \\
 &= 1 - 1 \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. SECOND PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2

The following proof of Proposition 1.2 is made under the additional assumption that $g \geq 4$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\eta, \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$. The following inequalities hold:*

- (1) $3 + \cos(2\eta) - \cos(\eta + \psi) - \cos(\eta - \psi) - \cos(\eta + \phi) - \cos(\eta - \phi) \geq 0$;
- (2) $3 + \cos(2\eta) - \cos(\eta + \psi) - 2\cos(\eta) - \cos(\eta - \psi) \geq 0$;
- (3) $3 + \cos(2\eta) - 2\cos(\eta + \psi) - 2\cos(\eta - \psi) \geq 0$.

In each case the inequality is an equality if and only if

- (1) $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\phi) = \cos(\psi) = 1$ or $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\phi) = \cos(\psi) = -1$;
- (2) $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\psi) = 1$;
- (3) $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\psi) = 1$ or $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\psi) = -1$.

Proof. The expression in (2) is obtained from that in (1) by taking $\phi = 0$. The expression in (3) is obtained from that in (1) by taking $\psi = \phi$. The statements about equality in (2) and (3) follow from that about equality in (1). It therefore suffices to prove (1).

Let $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(\phi) + \cos(\psi))$. Since $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$1 + (\cos(\eta) - \lambda)^2 - \lambda^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq 2 + 2(\cos(\eta) - \lambda)^2 - 2\lambda^2 \\
 &= 2 + 2\cos^2(\eta) - 4\lambda\cos(\eta). \\
 &= 2 + 2\cos^2(\eta) - 2\cos(\phi)\cos(\eta) - 2\cos(\psi)\cos(\eta) \\
 &= 3 + \cos(2\eta) - \cos(\eta + \phi) - \cos(\eta - \phi) - \cos(\eta + \psi) - \cos(\eta - \psi).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the inequality in (1). The inequality in (1) is an equality if and only if $\lambda^2 = 1$ and $\cos(\eta) = \lambda$, i.e., if and only if either $\cos(\eta) = \lambda = 1$ or $\cos(\eta) = \lambda = -1$; i.e., if and only if $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\phi) = \cos(\psi) = 1$ or $\cos(\eta) = \cos(\phi) = \cos(\psi) = -1$. $\square$

As always, $f(t)$ is the polynomial defined in (3-1) or, equivalently, the polynomial in (1-3).

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $g \geq 4$. If $f(\lambda) = 0$ and $|\lambda| = \theta^{-1}$ then $\lambda = \theta^{-1}$.*

Proof. We recall some notation. We write $D = \{\{d_1, \dots, d_g\}\}$ for the multi-set of degrees of the generators $x_1, \dots, x_g$. The degree of x_i is denoted by $d_i \geq 1$ and there is an integer $d \geq 2$ such that $d_i + d_{g-i+1} = d$ for all $i = 1, \dots, g$. We write n_j for the number of x_i having degree j . We have also made the assumption that $\gcd(D) = 1$.

Suppose $d = 2$. Then $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for all i so $f(t) = t^2 - gt + 1$ with $g \geq 4$. The result is true because the only roots of f are θ and θ^{-1} .

For the remainder of the proof we assume that $d \geq 3$. Therefore $\deg(x_i) \geq 2$ for some i . Let $\omega = (\lambda\theta)^{-1} = \cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha)$ and define

$$(4-1) \quad Z := \cos(d\alpha) - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j \theta^{-j} (1 - \cos((d-j)\alpha)).$$

Since $f(\theta^{-1}) = f(\omega^{-1}\theta^{-1}) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \theta^{-d} + 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j \theta^{-j} \\ &= (\omega\theta)^{-d} + 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j (\omega\theta)^{-j} \\ &= \theta^{-d} + \omega^d - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j \theta^{-j} \omega^{d-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4-2) \quad 0 = \omega^d - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n_j \theta^{-j} (1 - \omega^{d-j}).$$

The real part of (4-2) is Z , so $Z = 0$.

We will use the fact that $Z = 0$ to show that $\cos(j\alpha) = 1$ for all $j \in D$; i.e., $\omega^j = 1$ for all $j \in D$. But $\gcd D = 1$ so it will then follow that $\omega = 1$, whence $\lambda = \theta^{-1}$. The proof of the proposition will then be complete.

Claim: One of the following is true:

- (a) there is $q \in D$ such that $n_q \geq 2$ and $q < d/2$;
- (b) (a) does not occur, d is even, $n_{d/2} \geq 2$, and $n_q \neq 0$ for some $q < d/2$;
- (c) neither (a) nor (b) occurs, and there are four distinct elements $q, r, d-q, d-r \in D$ such that $q, r < d/2$.

Proof of Claim: Suppose there is an integer $r \neq d/2$ such that two different x_i 's have degree r . Then there are two different x_i 's having degree $d-r$. Since either r or $d-r$ is $< d/2$, (a) holds in this case. Conversely, if (a) holds there is an integer $r \neq d/2$ such that two different x_i 's have degree r .

Suppose (a) does not occur. Then either no two x_i 's have the same degree or d is even and there are two different x_i 's having degree $d/2$. In the latter case, $n_{d/2} \geq 2$ and, since $\gcd(D) = 1$, there is some x_i whose degree is not

$d/2$, and hence an x_j whose degree is $d - d_i$; either d_i or $d - d_i$ is $< d/2$ so (b) holds. In the former case, since $g \geq 4$, (c) holds. $\diamond$

In the three cases in the claim we make the following definitions:

- (a) $C = \{q, d - q\}$,
 $n'_q = n'_{d-q} = 2$, and
 $X = 3 + \cos(d\alpha) - 2\cos(q\alpha) - 2\cos((d - q)\alpha)$
- (b) $C = \{q, d - q, d/2\}$,
 $n'_q = n'_{d-q} = 1$, $n'_{d/2} = 2$, and
 $X = 3 + \cos(d\alpha) - \cos(q\alpha) - 2\cos(d\alpha/2) - \cos((d - q)\alpha)$
- (c) $C = \{q, r, d - q, d - r\}$,
 $n'_q = n'_r = n'_{d-q} = n'_{d-r} = 1$, and
 $X := 3 + \cos(d\alpha) - \cos(q\alpha) - \cos(r\alpha) - \cos((d - q)\alpha) - \cos((d - r)\alpha)$.

Let q and r be as in (a), (b), and (c). Define $\eta = d\alpha/2$, $\psi = (d/2 - q)\alpha$, and $\phi = (d/2 - r)\alpha$. In case (a), Lemma 4.1(3) implies $X \geq 0$. In case (b), Lemma 4.1(2) implies $X \geq 0$. In case (c), Lemma 4.1(1) implies $X \geq 0$.

In each of the three cases,

$$X = \cos(d\alpha) - 1 + \sum_{j \in C} n'_j (1 - \cos((d - j)\alpha)).$$

Therefore, $-X = Z - X =$

$$\sum_{j \in D-C} n_j \theta^{-j} (1 - \cos((d - j)\alpha)) + \sum_{j \in C} (n_j \theta^{-j} - n'_j) (1 - \cos((d - j)\alpha)).$$

However,

- $n_j \theta^{-j} > 0$ for all $j \in D - C$,
- $n_j \theta^{-j} - n'_j > 0$ for all $j \in C$, and
- $1 - \cos((d - j)\alpha)$ is always ≥ 0 ,

so $-X \geq 0$ and $-X = 0$ if and only if $\cos(j\alpha) = 1$ for all $j \in D$. Since both $-X$ and X are ≥ 0 we conclude that $X = 0$, whence $\cos(j\alpha) = 1$ for all $j \in D$. The proof is now complete. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $g \geq 4$. If λ is a root of f other than θ , then $\theta < |\lambda|$.*

Proof. Let ρ be a root of f having minimal modulus. Because $f(t)$ is the reciprocal of a Hilbert series, the power series

$$\frac{1}{f(t)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$$

has non-negative coefficients and a finite radius of convergence $|\rho|$. By Pringsheim's Theorem [2, Theorem IV.6], $|\rho|$ is a singularity of $H_A(t)$, i.e. a root of f . Since θ is the smallest positive root of f , $|\rho| = \theta$, so $\theta \leq |\lambda|$.

Suppose $|\lambda| = \theta$. Since f is reciprocal, λ^{-1} is a root of f , and $|\lambda^{-1}| = \theta^{-1}$. By Proposition 4.2, $\lambda^{-1} = \theta^{-1}$, so $\lambda = \theta$, a contradiction. The result follows. $\square$

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. When A is generated by $g \geq 3$ elements of degree one, $f(t)$ is the irreducible polynomial $1 - gt + t^2$ so

$$K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{g - \sqrt{g^2 - 4}}{2} \right] \subset \mathbb{R}$$

as ordered abelian groups.

5.2. **Non-irreducible f .** Suppose $g = 4$, $d_1 = d_2 = 1$ and $d_3 = d_4 = 2$. Then $f(t) = 1 - 2t - 2t^2 + t^3 = (1+t)(1-3t+t^2)$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5})$. The map

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]}{(f)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\theta], \quad \bar{p} \mapsto (p(-1), p(\theta))$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups. The image of the positive cone under that isomorphism $K_0(\mathbf{qgr}(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ is $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\theta]_{\geq 0}) \cup \{0\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs. Theory and application*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 87. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1980. MR0572262 (81i:05054)
- [2] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. MR2483235 (2010h:05005)
- [3] F.R. Gantmacher, *Matrix Theory*, vol. II, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1960. MR0869996 (87k:15001)
- [4] E. Hironaka, What is ... Lehmer's number? *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, **56** (2009) 374-375.
- [5] C. Holdaway and G. Sisodia, Category equivalences involving graded modules over weighted path algebras and monomial algebras, *J. Algebra*, **353** (2012) 249-260. MR2872446 (2012k:16035)
- [6] M. Kontsevich and A. Rosenberg, Noncommutative smooth spaces, in *The Gelfand Seminars 1996-1999*, pp. 85-108, Gelfand Math Sem., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000. MR1731635 (2001c:14005)
- [7] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1369092 (97a:58050)
- [8] H. Minamoto, A noncommutative version of Beilinson's theorem, *J. Algebra*, **320** (2008) 238-252. MR2417986 (2009i:16027)
- [9] I. Mori and S.P. Smith, Bézout's theorem for noncommutative projective spaces, *J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **157** (2001), 279-299. MR1812056 (2001m:16075)
- [10] I. Mori and S.P. Smith, The Grothendieck group of a quantum projective space bundle, *K-Theory*, **37** (2006) 263-289. MR2273460 (2007k:14012)
- [11] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebra into an AF-algebra, *J. Operator Theory*, **4** (1980) 201-210. MR0595412 (82d:46086)
- [12] D. Piontkovski, Coherent algebras and noncommutative projective lines, *J. Algebra*, **319** (2008) 3280-3290. MR2408318 (2009e:14004) arXiv:math/0606279.
- [13] M.A. Rieffel, C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations, *Pacific J. Math.* **93** (1981) 415-429. MR0623572 (83b:46087)
- [14] S.P. Smith, Computation of the Grothendieck and Picard groups of a complete toric DM stack $\mathcal{X}$ by using a homogeneous coordinate ring for $\mathcal{X}$, *Glasgow Math. Jour.*, **53** (2010) 97-113. MR2747137 (2012f:14101)

- [15] S.P. Smith and M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative quadric surfaces, *J. Noncomm. Geom.*, **7** (2013) 817–856. MR3108697
- [16] J. J. Zhang, Non-Noetherian regular rings of dimension 2, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998) 1645-1653. MR1459158 (98g:16007)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIV. WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA
98195

E-mail address: gautas@math.washington.edu, smith@math.washington.edu